BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION	
In the Matter of)
MUR 6055) CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE
DAN SEALS FOR CONGRESS AND) ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY
HARRY PASCAL, AS TREASURER) SYSTEM
GENERAL CO	UNSEL'S REPORT
Under the Enforcement Priority Syste	em, matters that are low-rated
are forwarded to the Commission with	n a recommendation for dismissal. The
Commission has determined that pursuing lo	w-rated matters compared to other higher rated
matters on the Enforcement docket warrants	the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to
diamiss these cases. The Office of General C	Counsel scored MUR 6055 as a low-rated matter
The complainant in this matter, Dani	el Bryant, clasms that Dan Seals for Congress
and Harry Pascal, in his official capacity as to	reasurer ("the Committee") accepted a \$1,000
contribution, which it reported as having bee	n made by Robert M Schrayer, on May 7, 2008
in its 2008 July Quarterly Report. However,	according to an obituary notice included with the
complaint, Mr. Schrayer had passed away on	February 21, 2008, more than two months
before the contribution was made. The comp	planant therefore concludes that the Committee
knowingly accepted a contribution, ostensibl	y from Mr Schrayer, that was, in fact, made by
another, in violation of 2 U S.C § 441f, and	also maccurately reported that Mr. Schrayer had
made the contribution, in violation of 2 U S C	C. § 434(b).
Both the Committee and Mrs Schray	er responded to the complaint. The Committee
explained that Mrs. Schraver had held the bar	nk account on which the check was drawn jointly

Case Closure under EPS -- MUR 6055 Page 2 of 3

1 with her late husband. Robert Schraver In April 2008, after her husband's death, the 2 Committee stated that Mrs Schraver instructed her bank to issue an online check for \$1,000 3 to the Committee The Committee provided a copy of the check, on which Mr Schrayer's 4 name alone is printed at the top, and includes documentation from the bank that the account was owned jointly by the Schrayers. The check does not bear a signature, according to the 5 Committee, because it was issued through an online banking service. (In fact, there is no line 6 7 provided on the check for a signature) 8 The Committee acknowledged that it erroneously attributed the check to Mr. Schrayer 9 instead of Mrs Schrayer, because it bore his name. In addition, because the Committee had 10 Mr. Schraver's occupation and employment information on file from previous contributions 11 made by him, it did not send a follow-up letter Thus, the Committee maintained that it 12 reasonably, although mistakenly, attributed the contribution to Mr. Schrayer After being informed of the complaint, however, the Committee stated that it contacted Mrs. Schraver. 13 14 learned that she was the source of the May 7, 2008 contribution, and filed an amended 2008 15 July Quarterly showing that Mrs Schrayer, not Mr Schrayer, had made the contribution. 16 Mrs Schrayer's response also confirmed the Committee's statement that the contribution was 17 made by her from a joint account owned by herself and her late husband 18 It appears that Mrs. Schraver may have made an inadvertent mistake in the way she 19 instructed her bank to make the online contribution to the Committee. The Committee 20 appears to have quickly corrected its financial disclosure report after learning the true identity 21 of the contributor (1 e., Mrs Schrayer) Thus, any potential reporting violation under 22 2 USC § 434(b), under the circumstances in this case, would be technical in nature. Therefore, in furtherance of the Commission's priorities and resources, and relative to 23

1	other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of General Counsel behaves that
2	the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the matter. See
3	Heckler v Chaney, 470 U S 821 (1985).
4	RECOMMENDATIONS
5	The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR 6055,
6	close the file, and approve the appropriate letters
7 8 9	Thomasenia P Duncan General Counsel
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Date By. Gregory R Baker Special Counsel Complaints Examination & Legal Administration Jeff S. Jordan Supervisory Attorney Complaints Examination & Legal Administration Ruth I Hellizer Attorney Complaints Examination & Legal Administration
37 38 39	